

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,440	12/20/2001	Sandip H. Mandera	884.623US1	1921
21186	7590 03/07/2006		EXAM	INER
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH 1600 TCF TOWER			RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	121 SOUTH EIGHT STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			TATER NOWDER
MINNEAPOL	.15, MIN 33402		3629	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	T			
—	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/027,440	MANDERA, SANDIP H.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dennis Ruhl	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	affidavit, or other evidence, which n compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of expunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply of the transiting that the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee riginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS	•				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	onsideration and/or search (see N				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		rejected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separat	e, timely filed amendment canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome all rejections under app	peal and/or appellant fails to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attached.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)			
13. Other:					
DENNIS RUHL					
		PRIMARY EXAMINER			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is non-persuasive. The examiner refers applicant to the remarks in the FINAL rejection where the position of the examiner is set forth. The rejection will be maintained and the position of the examiner has not changed..